

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/530,156	08/31/2000	Oliver Hecker	AP9472	3844
10291 75	90 08/27/2003			
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 140			ÉXAMINER	
			BURCH, MELODY M	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/530,156	HECKER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Melody M. Burch	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice in a simely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE con which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);	•				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 10, 17-19.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
	•					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/530,156 1

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment raises 112 issues in claim 1. It is unclear to the Examiner how the diminishing step which includes diminishing the amount of excess elevation throughout the duration of the third mode includes keeping the excess elevation function constant during intervals when the master cylinder (pressure) is increasing. Also the phrases "said controlling step" in lines 2-3 from the bottom of claim 1 and "the master cylinder" in the last line of claim 1 lack proper antecedent basis.

mmB 8/26/03

> MATTHEW C. GRAHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 310